§ 1780.32

the information required by \$1780.33. Applicants seeking only an eligibility determination, should contact the processing office to obtain a list of the items needed to make this determination. An eligibility determination for loan or grant assistance will not give an applicant priority for funding as set forth in \$1780.17.

- (d) Applications that are not developed in a reasonable period of time taking into account the size and complexity of the proposed project may be removed from the State's active file. Applicants will be consulted prior to taking such action.
- (e) Starting with the earliest discussion with prospective applicants, the State Environmental Coordinator shall discuss with prospective applicants and be available for consultation during the application process the environmental review requirements for evaluating the potential environmental consequences of the project. Pursuant to 7 CFR part 1794 and guidance in RUS Bulletin 1794A-602, the environmental review requirements shall be performed by the applicant simultaneously and concurrently with the project's engineering planning and design. This should provide flexibility to consider reasonable alternatives to the project and development methods to mitigate identified adverse environmental effects. Mitigation measures necessary to avoid or minimize any adverse environmental effects must be integrated into project design.

[62 FR 33478, June 19, 1997, as amended at 63 FR 68655, Dec. 11, 1998]

§ 1780.32 Timeframes for application processing.

- (a) The processing office will determine if the application is properly assembled. If not, the applicant will be notified within fifteen federal working days as to what additional submittal items are needed.
- (b) The processing and approval offices will coordinate their reviews to ensure that the applicant is advised about eligibility and anticipated fund availability within 45 days of the receipt of a completed application.

§ 1780.33 Application requirements.

An initial application consists of the following:

- (a) One copy of a completed SF 424.2:
- (b) A copy of the State intergovernmental comments or one copy of the filed application for State intergovernmental review; and
- (c) Two copies of the preliminary engineering report (PER) for the project.
- (1) The PER may be submitted to the processing office prior to the rest of the application material if the applicant desires a preliminary review.
- (2) The processing office will forward one copy of the PER with comments and recommendations to the State staff engineer for review upon receipt from the applicant.
- (3) The State staff engineer will consult with the applicant's engineer as appropriate to resolve any questions concerning the PER. Written comments will be provided by the State staff engineer to the processing office to meet eligibility determination time lines.
- (d) Written certification that other credit is not available.
- (e) Supporting documentation necessary to make an eligibility determination such as financial statements, audits, organizational documents, or existing debt instruments. The processing office will advise applicants regarding the required documents. Applicants that are indebted to RUS will not need to submit documents already on file with the processing office.
- (f) Environmental Report. For those actions listed in §§1794.22(b) and 1794.23(b), the applicant shall submit, in accordance with RUS Bulletin 1794A-602, two copies of the completed Environmental Report.
- (1) Upon receipt of the Environmental Report, the processing office shall forward one copy of the report with comments and recommendation to the State Environmental Coordinator for review.
- (2) The State Environmental Coordinator will consult with the applicant as appropriate to resolve any environmental concerns. Written comments will be provided by the State Environmental Coordinator to the processing office to meet eligibility determination time lines.

- (g) The applicant's Internal Revenue Service Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN). The TIN will be used by the Agency to assign a case number which will be the applicant's or transferee's TIN preceded by State and County Code numbers. Only one case number will be assigned to each applicant regardless of the number of loans or grants or number of separate facilities, unless an exception is authorized by the National Office.
- (h) Other Forms and certifications. Applicants will be required to submit the following items to the processing office, upon notification from the processing office to proceed with further development of the full application:

(1) Form RD 442-7, "Operating Budget":

- (2) Form RD 1910-11, "Application Certification, Federal Collection Policies for Consumer or Commercial Debts";
- (3) Form RD 400-1, "Equal Opportunity Agreement";
- (4) Form RD 400-4, "Assurance Agreement":
- (5) Form AD-1047, "Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension and other Responsibility Matters";
- (6) Form AD-1049, Certification regarding Drug-Free Workplace Requirements (Grants) Alternative I For Grantees Other Than Individuals;
- (7) Certifications for Contracts, Grants, and Loans (Regarding Lobbying); and
- (8) Certification regarding prohibited tying arrangements. Applicants that provide electric service must provide the Agency a certification that they will not require users of a water or waste facility financed under this part to accept electric service as a condition of receiving assistance.

 $[62\ FR\ 33478,\ June\ 19,\ 1997,\ as\ amended\ at\ 63\ FR\ 68655,\ Dec.\ 11,\ 1998]$

§1780.34 [Reserved]

§ 1780.35 Processing office review.

Review of the application will usually include the following:

(a) Nondiscrimination. Boundaries for the proposed service area must not be chosen in such a way that any user or area will be excluded because of race, color, religion, sex, marital status, age, handicap, or national origin. This does not preclude construction of the project in phases as noted in §1780.11 as long as it is not done in a discriminatory manner.

(b) *Grant determination*. Grants will be determined by the processing office in accordance with the following provisions and will not result in EDU costs below similar system user cost.

(1) Maximum grant. Grants may not exceed the percentages in §1780.10(c) of the eligible RUS project development costs listed in §1780.9.

- (2) Debt service. Applicants will be considered for grant assistance when the debt service portion of the average annual EDU cost, for users in the applicant's service area, exceeds the following percentages of median household income:
- (i) 0.5 percent when the median household income of the service area is equal to or below 80% of the statewide nonmetropolitan median income.
- (ii) 1.0 percent when the median household income of the service area exceeds the 0.5 percent requirement but is not more than 100 percent the statewide nonmetropolitan household income.
- (3) Similar system cost. If the grant determined in paragraph (b)(2) of this section results in an annual EDU cost that is not comparable with similar systems, the Agency will determine a grant amount based on achieving EDU costs that are not below similar system user costs.
- (4) Wholesale service. When an applicant provides wholesale sales or services on a contract basis to another system or entity, similar wholesale system cost will be used in determining the amount of grant needed to achieve a reasonable wholesale user cost.
- (5) Subsidized cost. When annual cost to the applicant for delivery of service is subsidized by either the state, commonwealth, or territory, and uniform flat user charges regardless of usage are imposed for similar classes of service throughout the service area, the Agency may proceed with a grant in an amount necessary to reduce such delivery cost to a reasonable level.
- (c) *User charges*. The user charges should be reasonable and produce enough revenue to provide for all costs